

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8  
9 JOSEPH JOHN ETCHEVERRY,

CV F 05-00454 OWW DLB HC

10 Petitioner,

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS, DENYING PETITION  
FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

11 v.

[Doc. 16]

12 JEANNE WOODFORD,

13 Respondents.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_ /

15  
16 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus  
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

17  
18 On August 29, 2006, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendation that the  
19 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be DENIED. This Findings and Recommendation was  
20 served on all parties and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within thirty (30)  
21 days of the date of service of the order.

22 On September 25, 2006, Petitioner filed timely objections to the Findings and  
23 Recommendation.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted  
25 a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Petitioner's  
26 objections, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation is  
27 supported by the record and proper analysis. Petitioner's objections present no grounds for  
28 questioning the Magistrate Judge's analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendation issued August 29, 2006, is ADOPTED IN FULL;
2. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED; and
3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to enter judgment in favor of Respondent. IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated: October 13, 2006**  
emm0d6

**/s/ Oliver W. Wanger**  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE